

## REMARKS

Claims 1-31 are pending in the present application. By this Preliminary Amendment, claims 1, 8, 15, and 22 are amended. Claims 1, 8, 15, and 22 are amended to recite “wherein the parsing, masking, converting, unmasking and storing steps are performed by the server.” Reconsideration of the claims in view of the above amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

### **I. Telephone Interview Summary**

Applicants thank Examiner Vaughn and Supervisor Hong for the courtesies extended to Applicants’ representative during March 3, 2005 telephone interview. During the interview, with regard to the rejection to claims 2, 9, 16, and 23 under U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, Examiner Vaughn and Supervisor Hong stated their satisfaction with the support indicated in the specification. Accordingly, the rejections to claims 2, 9, 16, and 23 under U.S.C. 112, first paragraph are withdrawn.

In addition, Examiner Vaughn and Supervisor Hong indicated that the above amendments to claims 1, 8, 15, and 22 would overcome the Hawkins and Ginter references, since neither reference teaches or suggests performing the parsing, masking, converting, unmasking, and storing steps on the same server. The substance of the interview is summarized in the following remarks.

### **II. 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), Obviousness, Claims 1, 6-8, 13-15, 20-22 and 27-28**

The Final Office Action rejects claims 1, 6-8, 13-15, 20-22, and 27-28 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hawkins et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,343,318) in view of Ginter et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,892,900). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The Final Office Action stated in the Responsive to Arguments that Hawkins teaches a PVC device **100**, a request described as a Wireless CTP Query **122**, CTP Query **124** and HTTP Query **126**, and a Web server **140** that stores a document in **Figure 1** of Hawkins, which is illustrated below:




Figure 1

However, as shown in **Figure 1**, Web server 140 does not perform the parsing, masking, converting, unmasking and storing steps as recited in amended claims 1, 8, 15, and 22. Rather, the converting step is performed by proxy server 180, which converts the Web page from Web server to a wireless client compatible CTP and CML formats and transfers it back to wireless device 100. The Web server of Hawkins is different from the server of the presently claimed invention in that Web server 140 only stores Web pages. The Web server does not convert the Web pages to a compatible format. This is contrary to the server of the presently claimed invention, which not only receives the request and stores the original JSP file, the server also parses the original JSP file for tags, masks the JSP tags, converts non-masked tags in the original JSP file into PvC device specific format tags, unmasks the JSP tags, and stores a transformed JSP file. Neither Web server 140 nor proxy server 180 of Hawkins performs the parsing, masking, converting, unmasking and storing steps as recited in amended claims 1, 8, 15, and 22.

In addition, Ginter also does not teach or suggest the features as recited in claims 1, 8, 15 and 22. At column 60, line 58 to column 61, line 34, Ginter merely teaches a rights operating system that runs on an electronic appliance. The rights operating system


does not receive a request from a PvC device, because it is already running on the PvC device. Since the rights operating system runs on the PvC device, Ginter does not and would not teach that the parsing, masking, converting, unmasking, and storing steps are performed by a server, as recited in claims 1, 8, 15, and 22. Accordingly, since neither Ginter nor Hawkins teaches or suggests the features of claims 1, 8, 15, and 22, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of rejection to claims 1, 6-8, 13-15, 20-22, and 27-28 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

### III. Conclusion

The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at the below-listed telephone number if in the opinion of the Examiner such a telephone conference would expedite or aid the prosecution and examination of this application.

DATE: May 4, 2005

Respectfully submitted,



---

Wing Yan Mok  
Reg. No. 56,237  
Yee & Associates, P.C.  
P.O. Box 802333  
Dallas, TX 75380  
(972) 385-8777  
Agent for Applicants